

CLIMATIC CONDITIONS REQUIRED AND FACTORS AFFECTING THE PRODUCTION OF SWEET POTATO

CHAITALI CHAKRABORTY¹, RIYA DASGUPTA² & DEBJIT GHOSH³

^{1,2}Department of Food Technology, Guru Nanak Institute of Technology, Panihati, Sodepur, Kolkata, India

³Don-Limon GmbH, Hamburg, Germany

ABSTRACT:

Sweet potato is a subtropical crop. It requires moderate temperature ranging between 21-26°C. It requires the average temperature 75°F (24°C) for growth. The other factor that also have significant effect on growth are abundant sunlight, warm nights, annual rainfall [(750-1000mm), with minimum range of 500mm in growing time]. Climate change such as global warming, elevated CO₂ concentration, high temperature etc affects sweet potato production worldwide. Elevated CO₂ cause malformation of tuber. High temperature also causes reduced yield. Overall climate change affects sweet potato greatly.

KEYWORDS: Climate Change, Production, Yield, Carbon-di-Oxide & Temperature

Received: Dec 08, 2016; **Accepted:** Jan 06, 2017; **Published:** Feb 04, 2017; **Paper Id.:** IJASRFEB201758

INTRODUCTION

Sweet potatoes can be grown where there is a long frost-free period with warm temperatures in the growing season. The plant does not tolerate frost. Sweet potato requires moderate temperature (21-26°C, so, it can be grown in every month but in different countries worldwide. Sweet potatoes require plenty of sunshine, but shade causes yield reduction. However, sweet potato is intercropped with other seasonal crops like pigeon pea, maize, etc (Nedunchezhiyan *et al.*, 2010) and to fulfill the objective of intensification of crop and to gain the profit at a maximum level, it can be grown as an intercrop. At initiation stage (0-60) days, sweet potato is very much sensitive to draught and water-logging. If it is not maintained properly, then it causes rotting of tuber and reduced growth of storage root. (Nedunchezhiyan and Ray 2010). Sweet potato is very much affected by saline and alkaline condition of the soil. (Dasgupta *et al.* 2006, Mukherjee *et al.* 2006).

FACTORS AFFECTING THE PRODUCTION OF SWEET POTATO

Concentration of CO₂

Increased rates of photosynthesis, WUE, and NUE on plants grown at elevated CO₂ concentrations not only alter biomass, but also change the plants elemental composition. The majority of studies looking at these effects have focused on the foliar portion of crops, or the seed or grain of major global crops and resulted in increased carbohydrate concentration and decreased protein and mineral concentration (Seneweer and Conroy, 1997; Fangmeier *et al.*, 1999; Prior *et al.*, 1998). Loladze (2002) reviewed 25 studies that looked at changes in mineral concentration of plants grown under slightly elevated (twice ambient) CO₂ concentrations. He found average percent decreases in N, P, K, Ca, S, Mg, Fe, Zn, Mn, and Cu for all foliar plants, and decreases for all minerals except K (.86% increase) and Cu (no data) for wheat showing the same trends found for wheat mineral

concentration in another study (Hogy *et al.*, 2009a). Decreases in mineral concentration has been shown to be a result of dilution from greater incorporation of carbon-rich molecules (i.e., carbohydrates) instead of mineral incorporation (Porter *et al.*, 1997; Fangmeier *et al.*, 1997) and some minerals may also show decreased uptake due to lower transpiration under SECC (23% on average Kimball *et al.*, 2002; Cure and Acock, 1986; Rogers and Dahlman, 1993) resulting in partial stomatal closure and lower rates of mass flow (Conroy and Hockling, 1993; Conn and Cochran, 2006). This study was the first of its kind to look at the changes in nutrient concentration from VECC on sweet potato storage roots. Due to increased level of CO₂ in the surroundings, silver lining and double sizing of sweet potato occurs. Hope Jahren at the University of Hawaii at Manoa and colleagues grew the plants at four CO₂ concentrations: the current level of 390 parts per million, as well as 760, 1140 and 1520 ppm. The Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change predicts that atmospheric CO₂ levels will be between 500 and 1000 ppm by the year 2100. For the least extreme scenario at 760 ppm, the team found the tubers grew up to 96 per cent larger. Crucially, previous studies revealed the protein content in wheat, rice, barley and sweet potatoes dropped by 15 per cent when grown under CO₂ levels double those of today.

Drought

Drought affects not only the growth and yield of sweet potato but also it affects the following factors such as

- Membrane integrity
- Availability of pigments
- Osmotic differences
- Water relationships
- Activity of photosynthesis

All the above factors are examined on sweet potato and stated by Praba *et.al* on 2009. Many physiological and biochemical reactions take place in the plant roots and stems. As a result, the changes of many growths related factors (plant nutrition, photo-synthesis, water relations, respiration, metabolism of nutrients and other growth promoting factors). Cell enlargement is inhibited by water stress. And it is more observed than cell division. The advantage of prolific system is to support high rate of plant growth during preliminary stages. Due to presence of such system in sweet potato, it becomes drought tolerant. But additional irrigation is needed for better sprouting and establishment. Due to water stress, low turgor pressure develops which accelerates inhibition of cell expansion and growth. For survival, cell turgor is maintained by osmotic regulation under extreme drought condition. Leaf growth is reduced by stress due to excess water. Biomass is diminished by water stress. Different cultivars respond different to reduced yield (Jaleel.*et.al*, 2008).

Temperature

Different temperature zone are required for the growth of the sweet potato. Growth of sweet potato means the growth and development of tuber and storage root. For the growth of both of them two different temperature are required. During nighttime temperature is lower than the day time. So, tuber formation is more favored during night time. Similarly, during day time, due to presence of the sunrays, temperature becomes higher. This favors the storage root development. All this are responsible for the production of sweet potato. Development of storage root is directly proportionate up to a certain range of temperature region, especially, up to 20°C. Above 20°C, the growth and development of storage root falls rapidly. Though temperature has a significant role on growth of storage root, it does not have important role on formation

of total root. On the other hand, its effect is only effective up to time required for the 50% development, increment of size and improvement of quality of storage root. It plays important role on the increment of total biomass (Van Dam *et al.*, 1996). Between the temperatures range 27°-35°C, the increment of total biomass is in between 22- 30. Above that temperature range causes the declination from 11% (37°C) to 90% (42°C) (Somasundaram.*et.al*, 2008).

CONCLUSIONS

The Impact of Future Climate Change on Sweet Potato Production World Wide

The climate changes through worldwide are mainly caused by global warming and pollution. It includes the changes of CO₂ concentration and temperature and reduced water level. These factors are important for the production of the sweet potato Change of any one of the factors cause major declination in the yield and biomass. According to recent assessment, the global temperature will increase up to 2°C by increasing emissions of industries. Another factor which is also changed due to climate change is rainfall. Sweet potato is mainly cultivated in tropical and subtropical regions of USA, Africa and India. Due to heavy rainfall in USA (DeAngeli *et.al*, 2010), water logging may occur which greatly affect the storage root and tuber formation. Sometimes, excess rainfall may cause death of the plant and many bacterial attacks takes place as in this period, water content is more and water activity is more than 0.9 and shrinkage may occur (Ton *et.al*, 1978). Reduced rainfall also affects the production. Reduced annual precipitation causes drought. Sweet potato is drought tolerant up to a certain limit. But, above the level, declination of productivity may take place (Jaleel.*et.al*, 2008)). As a result of this, turgor pressure lowers and cell division and expansion for growth lowers down. Overall, the climate change affects the sweet potato production worldwide and become detrimental for us.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We the authors are hereby acknowledging Don-Limon GmbH, Hamburg, Germany for giving us opportunity to study on the Literature Review on Sweet Potato.

REFERENCES

1. Agili, S. 2012. Selection, yield evaluation, drought tolerance indices of orange-flesh sweet potato (*Ipomoea batatas* Lam) hybrid clone. *J. Nutr. Food Sci.* 2:138.
2. Bhattacharya *et al.*, 1985. Growth and Yield Response of Sweet Potato to Atmospheric CO₂ enrichment. *Crop Science*. 25, 975-981.
3. Bhattacharya *et. al.*, 1989. Biochemical changes in carbohydrates and proteins of sweet potato plants (*Ipomoea batatas* [L.] Lam.) in response to enriched CO₂ environment at different stages of growth and development. *J. Plant Physiol.* 135. 261-266.
4. Calzadilla, A., Zhu, T., Rehdanz, K., Tol, R., Ringler, C., 2013. Economywide impacts of climate change on agriculture in Sub-Saharan Africa. *Ecological Economics* 93, 150-165.
5. Conroy, J., and Hocking, P., 1993. Nitrogen nutrition of C3 plants at elevated atmospheric CO₂ concentrations. *Physiologia Plantarum*, 89, 570-576.
6. Dasgupta.*et.al* 2006, Mukherjee.*et.al*.2006, Improvement of Sweet Potato(*Ipomoea batatas*) In Asia, ISBN-92-9060-134-5
7. Demirevska K., Zasheva D., Dimitrov R., Simova-Stoilova L., Stamenova M., Feller U., 2009. Drought stress effects on Rubisco in wheat: changes in the Rubisco large subunit. *Acta Physiol. Plant.*, 31: 1129-1138

8. DeAngelis, A., F. Dominguez, Y. Fan, A. Robock, M. D. Kustu, and D. Robinson, 2010: Evidence of enhanced precipitation due to irrigation over the Great Plains of the United States. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 115, D15115, doi:10.1029/2010JD013892.
9. Fangmeier, A., Gruters, U., Hogen, P., Vermehren, B., Jager, H.J., 1997. Effects of elevated CO₂, nitrogen supply and tropospheric ozone on spring wheat — II. Nutrients (N, P, K, S, Ca, Mg, Fe, Mn, Zn). *Environ. Pollut.* 96, 43–59.
10. Fangmeier, A., D. Teerman, M. L. Montensen, K. Kemp, J. Bunke, M. Mitchell, V. Oijen and H. J. Weighel. 1999. Effects on nutrients and on grain quality in spring wheat crops grown under elevated CO₂ concentrations and stress conditions in the European multiple site experiment. *ESPACE Wheat . Eur. J. Agron.* 10:215- 229.
11. Gajanayake, B., Reddy, K.R., Shankle, M.W., Arancibia, R.A., 2014. Growth, developmental, and physiological responses of two sweetpotato (*Ipomoea batatas* L. [Lam]) cultivars to early season soil moisture deficit. *Scientia Horticulturae*, 168: 218–228
12. Hogen, P., Wieser, H., Köhler, P., Schwadorf, K., Breuer, J., Franzaring, J., Muntifering, R., Fangmeier, A., 2009a. Effects of elevated CO₂ on grain yield and quality of wheat: results from a 3-year free-air CO₂ enrichment experiment. *Plant Biology* 11, 60–69.
13. Hogen, P., Fangmeier, A., 2009b. Atmospheric CO₂ enrichment affects potatoes Tuber quality traits. *European Journal of Agronomy*. 30, 85-94
14. Jaleel C.A., Gopi R., Sankar B., Gomathinayagam M., Panneerselvam R., 2008. Differential responses in water use efficiency in two varieties of *Catharanthus roseus* under drought stress. *Comp. Rend. Biol.*, 331: 42-47.
15. Kushman, L.J., M.T. Deonier, J.M. Lutz, and W. Bolton. 1954. Effects of temperature and soil moisture at harvest and of delay in curing on keeping quality of Porto Rico sweet potatoes. *Proc. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci.* 63:415-419.
16. Kushman, L.J., M.T. Deonier, J.M. Lutz, and W. Bolton. 1958. Effect of weather, date of harvest, and curing treatments on keeping qualities of Porto Rico sweet potatoes. *Proc. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci.* 71:369-375.
17. Kushman, L.J., M.T. Deonier, J.M. Lutz, and W. Bolton. 1959. Relation of internal gas content and respiration to keeping quality of Porto Rico sweet potatoes. *Proc. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci.* 74:622-641.
18. Kooman and Haverkort, *Agronomy Journal* (3rd August, 2016), Vol:107(5) : 1855-2015
19. Loladze, I. 2002. Rising atmospheric CO₂ and human nutrition: toward globally imbalanced plant stoichiometry?. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* 17, 457-461.
20. Miglietta, F., Bindi, M., Vaccai, F.P., Schapendonk, A.H.C.M., Wolf, J., Butterfield, R.E., 2000. Crop ecosystem responses to climatic change: root and tuberous crops. In: Reddy, K.R., Hodges, H.F. (Eds.), *Climate Change and Global Crop Productivity*. CABI Publishing, Wallingford, UK. 189–212.
21. Mortley, D., J. Hill, P. Loretan, C. Bonsi, W. Hill, D. Hileman, et al. 1996. Elevated carbon dioxide influences yield and photosynthetic responses of hydroponically-grown sweet potato. *Acta Horticult.* 440:31–36.
22. Muhinyuza, J. B., H. Shimelis, R. Melis, J. Sibiya, and M. N. Nzaramba. 2012. Participatory assessment of potato production constraints and trait preferences in potato cultivar development in Rwanda. *Int. J. Dev. Sust.* 1:358–380.
23. Neduchenzhiyan et al., (2007, 2010), *Improvement of Sweet Potato (Ipomoea batatas) In Asia*, ISBN-92-9060-134-5
24. Neduchenzhiyan and Ray, 2010, *Improvement of Sweet Potato (Ipomoea batatas) In Asia*, ISBN-92-9060-134-5
25. Neduchenzhiyan and Byju, 2005, *Improvement of Sweet Potato (Ipomoea batatas) In Asia*, ISBN-92-9060-134-5

26. Neduchenzhiyan and Reddy, 2006, *Improvement of Sweet Potato (Ipomoea batatas) In Asia*, ISBN-92-9060-134-5.
27. Praba M.L., Cairns J.E., Babu R.C., Lafitte H.R., 2009. Identification of physiological traits underlying cultivar differences in drought tolerance in rice and wheat. *J. Agron. Crop Sci.*, 195: 30-46
28. Poorter, H., Garnier, E., 1996. Plant growth analysis: an evaluation of experimental design and computational methods. *J. Exp. Bot.* 47, 1343–1351
29. Poorter, H., Berkel, Y.V., Baxter, R., Hertog, J.D., Dijkstra, P., Gifford, R.M., Griffin, K.L., Roumet, C., Roy, J., and Wong, S.C. 1997. The effect of elevated CO₂ on the chemical composition and construction costs of leaves of 27 C3 species. *Plant, Cell and Environment*. 20, 472-482.
30. Roberts, w., Time of Flooding and Cultivar Affect Sweet potato Yield, vol 26(12):1473-1474.
31. Russo, v., Time of Flooding and Cultivar Affect Sweet potato Yield, vol 26(12):1473-1474.
32. Ramirez, G. P. 1992. Cultivation, harvesting and storage of sweet potato products. Pp. 203–215 in D. Machin and S. Nyvold, ed. *Roots, tubers, plantains and bananas in animal feeding*. FAO, Rome, Italy, 95.
33. Ramirez, J., A. Jarvis, I. Van den Bergh, C. Staver, and D. Turner. 2011. Chapter 20: changing climates: effects on growing conditions for banana and plantain (*Musa spp.*) and possible responses. Pp 426–438 in S. Yadav, B. Redden, J. L. Hattfield, H. Lotze-Campen, eds. *Crop adaptation to climate change*. Wiley-Blackwell, Chichester, U.K.
34. Reddy, K.R., Gajanayake, B., Shankle, M.W., Arancibia, R.A., 2013. *Temperature Effects on Sweetpotato Growth and Development*. ASHS Annual Conference.
35. Ringler, C., T. Zhu, X. Cai, J. Koo, and D. Wang. 2010. *Climate change impacts on food security in sub-Saharan Africa: insights from comprehensive climate change scenarios (No. 1042)*. International Food Policy Research Institute (IFPRI), Washington, DC.
36. Runge, E. C. A.. 1968. Effect of rainfall and temperature interactions during the growing season on corn yield. *Agron. J.*, 60:503–507.
37. Shonga, E., M. Gemu, T. Tadesse, and E. Urage. 2013. Review of entomological research on sweet potato in Ethiopia. *Discour. J. Agri. Food Sci.* 1:83–92.
38. Seneweer, S.P., and Conroy, J.P., 1997. Growth, grain yield and quality of rice (*Oryza sativa* L) in response to elevated CO₂ and phosphorus nutrition. *Soil Science and Plant Nutrition*. 43, 1131-1136.
39. Somasundaram, K., and V.S.S. Mithra. 2008. Madhuram: A simulation model for sweet potato growth. *World J. Agric. Sci.* 4:241–254.
40. Ton, C.S. and T.P. Hernandez. 1978. Wet soil stress effects on sweet potatoes. *J. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci.* 103(5):600-603.
41. Tatsumi, K., Y. Yamashiki, R. Valmir da Silva, K. Takara, Y. Matsuoka, K. Takahashi, et al. 2011. Estimation of potential changes in cereals production under climate change scenarios. *Hydrol. Process.* 25:2715–2725.
42. Van Dam, J., P.L. Kooman, and P.C. Struik. 1996. Effects of temperature and photoperiod on early growth and final number of tubers in potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.). *Potato Res.* 39:51–62. doi:10.1007/BF02358206

